

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/729,475 12/05/2003 Steve Pakola 113476.122US1 3082 **EXAMINER** 23483 7590 08/08/2005 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP FORD, ALLISON M **60 STATE STREET** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BOSTON, MA 02109 1651

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u>K</u>	
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/729,475	PAKOLA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Allison M. Ford	1651
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a secondary.	•	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-84</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrage 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-84</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-···	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	oate
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	) 5) Notice of Informal   6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

M

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 57-79, drawn to a method of inducing posterior vitreous detachment of an eye, classified in class 424, subclass 94.64.
- II. Claims 80-84, drawn to a method of performing a vitrectomy, classified in class 604, subclass 521.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are distinct inventions and thus are subject to restriction. The inventions are distinct processes in that the methods are intended for different populations and have different functions, modes of operation, and effects. In the instant case the method of invention I is intended as a method of prevention, the procedure is intended for subjects that have begun to experience posterior vitreous detachment or are at threat of experiencing posterior vitreous detachment, but have not yet experienced difficulties requiring a vitrectomy, due to failure of the vitreous to completely separate from the retina. The method is intended to induce complete detachment of the vitreous so as to prevent the need for a surgical vitrectomy. Alternatively, the method of invention II is intended as a method of treatment for those who are have experienced posterior vitreous detachment and now have complications due to failure of the vitreous to completely separate from the retina, therefore now in need of a vitrectomy. Therefore, the methods are intended for different populations, and have different functions, modes of operation (as the method of invention II requires a vitrectomy), and have different effects.

Therefore, a search and examination of all inventions in one patent application would result in an undue burden. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, different classifications, and a search

Application/Control Number: 10/729,475

Art Unit: 1651

for one group does not require a search for another group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of

the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Allison M. Ford whose telephone number is 571-272-2936. The examiner can normally be

reached on 7:30-5 M-Th, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allison M Ford

ENB. LANKFORD, JR

Page 3